







Empowering women in rural Serbia: The Octopus project

Dr Sonja Avlijaš University of Belgrade – Faculty of Economics

Insights from the Horizon Europe WeLaR project

About project Octopus [Hobotnica]

- **Hobotnica** is a gender-responsive service launched by a regional female association ŽUKO (Kolubara region) and national gender experts, with donor support.
- Developed during COVID-19 to address the escalating **crisis of unpaid female care work** in rural Serbia.
- Targeted women in **small towns and villages**, overburdened by caregiving and household duties.
- During the pandemic, activities conducted with **strict health precautions** (e.g. online sessions, masks, safety guidelines).
- Offered **8 interconnected sub-services**—the "arms of the octopus"—to reduce unpaid work.

About project Octopus [Hobotnica]

"We always tell our families and our kids, I don't have 10 hands, I'm not an octopus".

Get Trained - Digital tablets &

basic IT training for women

without access to tech.



Love Yourself - Physical & mentalUnite - Support to form women'shealth support from GPs and
psychotherapists.associations in rural areas.Get Transported - Organised

Support Knowledge – Homework transport to improve mobility and and study help for beneficiaries' access children Socialise - Activities to reduce

Empower Yourself – Free legal
assistance in key life areas.Social fies
isolation and promote social tiesTake a Break - Planned group

Take a Break - Planned group day-trip to offer respite (not yet implemented)

Innovative aspects

- **Modular, flexible support** (health, education, legal aid, digital literacy, transport, social inclusion)
- Designed to be **cost-effective and scalable**: Services can "expand" or "contract" as needed (tailored to small, rural municipalities).
- Emphasises the **dynamism and diversity of local needs** vs. standardised social policy services
- **Cross-sectoral integration:** Breaks sectoral silos by responding to interconnected needs of service users.
- **Intersectional approach:** Targeting rural women, predominantly economically and socially marginalised.

Feedback loop between users and gender experts

- Initial **needs assessment** conducted with women aged 22–81
- But design also drew from **expert knowledge** on gender inequalities.
- E.g. Psychological support emerged as one of the most used services, despite low initial demand showing **value of expert-***guided innovation*.
- This **continuous feedback loop** enabled the service to adapt to evolving needs and external conditions though long-term flexibility was constrained by limited resources.

Financing context

- Local-level innovation piloted in rural Serbia (Mionica 2021, Lajkovac 2022), implemented by women's association ŽUKO.
- Developed with support from **UN Women**, **GiZ**, and national genderresponsive budgeting experts.
- Second pilot involved national NGO Gender Knowledge Hub and shifted to direct GiZ support
- It aimed to **scale coverage and duration**, and to enable **formal service evaluation** so that it can be legally adopted by municipal authorities.
- While pilots opened space for **national and local policy dialogue** on longterm **managerial**, **financial**, **and institutional sustainability**, no service adoption by local authorities yet.
- Lack of a **multi-annual budgeting framework** and **legislative support for non-state providers** hinder continuity.

Future outlook

- The project inspired Mionica municipality to launch **a citizen consultation** for new local services extending the project's user-driven ethos.
- Hobotnica acted as an **"ideas lab"**, boosting the visibility, capacity, and influence of the regional association ŽUKO.
- Catalysed **national public discourse** on unpaid female labour and rural gender inequalities, contributing to **broader policy learning**.
- Scaling and adaptation: Stakeholders exploring ways to replicate or adapt Hobotnica's modular approach in other Serbian municipalities with localised funding.
- ŽUKO remains committed to Hobotnica's mission but is now focusing on institutional partnerships, advocacy work, and expanding services rooted in its core design principles.



What can we learn about social innovation from Hobotnica?

Hobotnica and globalisation

- Regional inequalities in Serbia, worsened by globalisation and austerity, left rural areas underfunded and administratively overstretched; "one size fits all" policies failing to meet local needs.
- Hobotnica **filled welfare gaps** with psychosocial, legal, and organisational support to women marginalised in agricultural aid schemes which are skewed toward larger enterprises.
- Emphasised **wellbeing over income generation**—revealing tensions between care-based and economic empowerment models.
- Globalisation dynamics enabled **idea diffusion and collaboration among activists**, pointing to the potential of building social innovation ecosystems — if sustainable support structures are put in place.

Digitalisation, demographic decline and climate change

- **Digital inclusion**: Tablets and training empowered rural women to access legal info, connect socially, and engage local governance fostering connectivity and confidence.
- **Tangible benefits**: Receiving a device improved household support for the woman's participation in the project and allowed women to meet immediate needs. Material support can build trust and uptake.
- Economic aspirations: Some women developed interest in further learning and entrepreneurship, sparking new ambitions despite short-term pressures.
- **Demographic resilience**: By offering psychosocial support and socialisation, Hobotnica helped make rural life more viable— especially for women often excluded from land and public services.
- **Climate adaptation potential**: Could be expanded to build rural women's knowledge of sustainable farming and climate resilience.

Labour market impacts, incl. the hidden cost of social innovation

- Some women used legal aid, information, and digital tools to boost incomegenerating activities or start small businesses (e.g. hairdressing, agriculture).
- **Ripple effects for next generation**: Exposure to skills like English language and diverse life paths inspired children to consider new futures beyond migration or subsistence farming.
- **Precarity for implementers**: ŽUKO staff and collaborators faced job insecurity, burnout, and uncompensated labour due to inadequate project funding and Serbia's inflexible welfare system.
- Delivering modular services in a rigid welfare and labour framework can thus generate **hidden costs**, risking exploitation of those tasked with delivering inclusive innovation.
- **From project to policy**: Social innovation requires not just passion but supportive institutions multi-year funding, flexible labour protections (e.g. flexicurity), and governance models suited to investment-oriented welfare delivery.

Welfare state constraints and the need to evolve monitoring frameworks

- Hobotnica exposed the Serbian welfare state's inability to support modular, user-driven services—highlighting a lack of governance structures for non-state actors and inadequate institutional frameworks for social investment.
- **Hidden policy needs**: Modular, cross-sectoral service design surfaced unmet needs—e.g. rural mobility, mental health, and gendered access to public spaces—that traditional welfare approaches ignore.
- **Tension between innovation and metrics**: Traditional monitoring methods fail to capture Hobotnica's spillover effects—such as learning, policy inspiration, and shifts in local governance practices.
- **Role of policy catalysts**: Though not sustained, Hobotnica served as a demonstrational model—raising awareness, informing other initiatives, and influencing local and national debates on unpaid labour and gendered inequality.

Key insights

- Modular innovation in an inflexible system: A user-responsive, crosssectoral service model—unusual in Serbia's cash-focused, sectoral welfare landscape—was introduced, highlighting potential for implementing social investment in underserved rural areas.
- **Ripple effects & evolving needs**: The service sparked demand for education, entrepreneurship, and community action demonstrating how social reproduction support can empower broader life choices.
- Hidden costs for implementers: Non-state actors delivering flexible services in a rigid institutional and LM context faced burnout, insecurity, and undercompensation—revealing the need to assess SI impact on both users and providers.
- **Limits of traditional metrics**: Standard monitoring often misses spillover effects and policy learning; social innovation requires evaluation frameworks that recognise experimentation, empowerment, and ecosystem-building.







Thank you for the attention!

sonja.avlijas@ekof.bg.ac.rs www.projectwelar.eu